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#### Abstract

Four new biphenyl lignans, $\mathbf{1 - 4}$, with a tetrahydrofuran moiety were isolated from the MeOH extract of the whole plant of Gymnotheca chinensis. Their chemical structures were established by means of spectroscopic analysis, including 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy and comparison with previously reported data. Compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ occur as mixtures of atropisomers as revealed by variable-temperature NMR experiments.


Introduction. - Gymnotheca chinensis Decne, one of the endemic genera of seed plants in China, is a perennial herb of the family Saururaceae. The whole plants of $G$. chinensis have long been used as traditional herbal medicine to treat contusions and strains [1]. In our previous investigations on $G$. chinensis, 15 new lignans belonging to the dibenzocyclooctene, eupomatilone, and eupodienone series were isolated [2]. Eupomatilones are biphenyl lignans originally isolated from the Australian shrub Eupomatia bennettii. All members of this family contain a highly oxygenated biphenyl system with a $\gamma$-lactone ring or a tetrahydrofuran ring attached to one of the aryl rings, and some of the eupomatilone molecules occur as a mixture of fluctional atropisomers [3]. So far, this kind of degraded lignan was only isolated from E. bennettii and G. chinensis. As a consequence, these compounds have attracted interest of synthetic chemists [4-10]. Our recent phytochemical studies led to the isolation of four further new biphenyl lignans with a tetrahydrofuran moiety, named gymnothelignans $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{1}-$ 4 , resp.). The compounds occur as mixtures of atropisomers as revealed by variabletemperature NMR experiments.

Results and Discussion. - A MeOH extract of the whole plant of G. chinensis was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and successively extracted with petroleum ether and AcOEt. The petroleum ether and AcOEt extracts were combined and then further fractionated by various chromatographic techniques to yield four new biphenyl lignans 1-4 (Fig. 1).

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as white powder, with the molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, deduced from HR-ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 401.1571\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right)$, indicating ten degrees of unsaturation. In the NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2), several signals were doubled, indicating the presence of two rotational stereoisomers in a $c a .1: 1$ ratio at room temperature. On raising the temperature of a $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \mathrm{DMSO}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$
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Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-4
signals broadened and coalesced, eventually yielding a single signal (Fig. 2), owing to the rapid interconversion of the two conformers at high temperature. The coalescence point for the two sets of signals was observed at $c a .100^{\circ}$. The presence of a $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ group was evidenced by the HMBCs (Fig. 3) of $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$. The correlations of $\mathrm{MeO}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{MeO}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)$ positioned the two MeO groups to $C\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ and $C\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)$, respectively. Similarly, a MeO group at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ was also assigned. HMBCs $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) / \mathrm{C}(5)$ indicated the connection of $\mathrm{C}(2)$ to $\mathrm{C}(5)$ via an ether bond. Detailed HMBC analysis suggested that the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was very similar to that of gymnothelignan G [2], except for the absence of MeO at $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime \prime}\right)$, which was revealed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} A M X$ spin system $\left(\delta(\mathrm{H}) 6.57\right.$ (br. $\left.d, J=8.0, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), 6.82$ $\left(d, J=8.0, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), 6.70$ (br. $\left.s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ ). The orientations of the substituents of the tetrahydrofuran ring were deduced from NOESY correlations (Fig. 4). The crosspeaks $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) / \mathrm{Me}(7)$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) / \mathrm{Me}(6)$ indicated that $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Me}(7)$ were cofacial, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{Me}(6)$ were on the opposite face. The vicinal coupling constants $J(2,3)=4.9$ and $J(4,5)=4.1$ were in close agreement with those observed in gymnothelignan $G$ [2]. Gymnothelignan $P(\mathbf{1})$ was thus identified as 5 -(4"-hydroxy$6^{\prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-dimethoxy-4',5'-(methylenedioxy)-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl-2'-yl)- $2 \alpha$-methoxy- $3 \beta, 4 \alpha$-dimethyltetrahydrofuran ${ }^{1}$ ). The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1}$ remains to be determined.

Compound $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ had the same molecular formula as those of $\mathbf{1}$ as deduced from HR-ESI-MS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, and HMBC spectra indicated that $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were stereoisomers of 1. In a NOESY experiment, the cross-peaks $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) / \mathrm{Me}(7), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) /$ $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)$, and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(3) / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ were observed in compound 2 , and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(3)$, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(2) / \mathrm{Me}(6), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(3) / \mathrm{Me}(6)$, and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{Me}(7) / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ were observed

[^0]Table 1. ${ }^{l} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Data $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$. $\delta$ in ppm, $J$ in Hz. Atom numbering as indicated in Fig. 1.

| Position | 1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {b }}$ ) | $1^{\text {c }}$ ) | $2^{\text {a }}$ ) | $3^{\text {a }}$ ) | $4^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.97 ( $d, J=4.9$ ) | $4.95(d, J=4.9)$ | 4.96 ( $d, J=4.9)$ | 4.55 (s) | 4.91 ( $d, J=4.4)$ | $5.01(d, J=4.4)$ |
|  | 4.98 ( $d, J=4.9$ ) | 4.96 ( $d, J=4.9)$ |  | 4.55 (s) | $4.91(d, J=4.4)$ | 5.00 ( $d, J=4.4$ ) |
| 3 | 2.30-2.41 (m) | 2.25-2.36 (m) | 2.29-2.38 (m) | 2.28-2.38 (m) | 1.83-1.89 (m) | 2.31-2.43 (m) |
|  | 2.30-2.41 (m) | 2.25-2.36 (m) |  | 2.28-2.38 (m) | 1.83-1.89 (m) | 2.31-2.43 (m) |
|  | 1.91-2.00 (m) | 1.86-1.94 (m) | 1.93-2.01 (m) | 2.10-2.18 (m) | 1.75-1.83 (m) | 1.93-2.02 (m) |
|  | 1.91-2.00 (m) | 1.86-1.94 (m) |  | $2.10-2.18$ (m) | $1.75-1.83$ (m) | 1.93-2.02 (m) |
| 5 | $4.58(d, J=4.1)$ | $4.42(d, J=4.0)$ | 4.47 ( $d, J=4.6)$ | $4.52(d, J=8.9)$ | $4.99(d, J=8.5)$ | 4.65 ( $d, J=3.9)$ |
|  | $4.55(d, J=4.1)$ | 4.42 ( $d, J=4.0)$ |  | $4.52(d, J=8.9)$ | $5.04(d, J=8.5)$ | $4.62(d, J=3.9)$ |
| 6 | $0.61(d, J=7.2)$ | 0.53 ( $d, J=7.1$ ) | $0.60(d, J=7.1)$ | $0.67(d, J=6.9)$ | 0.66 ( $d, J=7.5$ ) | $0.61(d, J=7.1)$ |
|  | $0.62(d, J=7.2)$ | $0.53(d, J=7.1)$ |  | 0.68 ( $d, J=6.9)$ | 0.68 ( $d, J=7.5$ ) | $0.62(d, J=7.1)$ |
| 7 | $0.85(d, J=7.2)$ | $0.79(d, J=7.2)$ | $0.82(d, J=7.2)$ | $0.74(d, J=7.3)$ | 0.92 ( $d, J=6.6$ ) | $0.85(d, J=7.2)$ |
|  | 0.86 ( $d, J=7.2$ ) | $0.79(d, J=7.2)$ |  | 0.75 ( $d, J=7.3$ ) | 0.92 ( $d, J=6.6)$ | 0.86 ( $d, J=7.2$ ) |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ | 6.70 (s) | 6.68 (s) | 6.68 (s) | 6.85 (s) | 6.64 (s) | 6.90 (s) |
|  | 6.70 (s) | 6.68 (s) |  | 6.85 (s) | 6.64 (s) | 6.90 (s) |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | $6.77(d, J=1.9)$ | 6.66 ( $d, J=1.8)$ | 6.70 (br. $s$ ) | 6.74 (br.s) | 6.70 (br. $s$ ) | $6.78(d, J=1.8)$ |
|  | $6.75(d, J=1.9)$ | $6.69(d, J=1.8)$ |  | 6.74 (br.s) | 6.74 (br.s) | $6.80(d, J=1.8)$ |
| $5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 6.88 ( $d, J=8.0)$ | $6.80(d, J=8.0)$ | $6.82(d, J=8.0)$ | 6.84 ( $d, J=8.1$ ) | 6.88 ( $d, J=8.0)$ | $6.88(d, J=8.0)$ |
|  | 6.88 ( $d, J=8.0)$ | 6.80 ( $d, J=8.0)$ |  | $6.85(d, J=8.1)$ | 6.88 ( $d, J=8.0)$ | 6.88 ( $d, J=8.0)$ |
| $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6.61(d d, J=8.0,1.9)$ | $6.51(d d, J=8.0,1.8)$ | $6.57(d, J=8.0)$ | $6.60(d, J=8.1)$ | $6.59(d, J=8.0)$ | $6.64(d d, J=8.0,1.8)$ |
|  | 6.66 ( $d d, J=8.0,1.9$ ) | 6.56 (dd, $J=8.0,1.8)$ |  | $6.62(d, J=8.1)$ | 6.63 ( $d, J=8.0)$ | 6.69 (dd, $J=8.0,1.8)$ |
| 2-MeO | 3.25 (s) | 3.18 (s) | 3.22 (s) | 3.38 (s) | 3.21 (s) | 3.25 (s) |
|  | 3.25 (s) | 3.19 (s) |  | 3.38 (s) | 3.21 (s) | 3.25 (s) |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 6.00, 6.01 (2s) | 6.00, 6.03 (2s) | 5.99, 6.00 (2s) | 6.02 (s) | 6.01 (s) |  |
|  | 6.00, 6.01 (2s) | 6.00, 6.03 (2s) |  | 6.02 (s) | 6.01 (s) |  |
| 6'-MeO | 3.73 (s) | 3.68 (s) | 3.69 (s) | 3.72 (s) | 3.74 (s) | 3.56 (s) |
|  | 3.73 (s) | 3.69 (s) |  | 3.72 (s) | 3.74 (s) | 3.56 (s) |
| 3'-MeO | 3.83 (s) | 3.71 (s) | 3.77 (s) | 3.82 (s) | 3.83 (s) | 3.84 (s) |
|  | 3.85 (s) | 3.74 (s) |  | 3.84 (s) | 3.84 (s) | 3.86 (s) |
| 4'-MeO |  |  |  |  |  | 3.87 (s) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.87 (s) |
| $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3.81 (s) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.81 (s) |
| 4"-OH | 7.59 (s) | 8.98 (s) | 8.44 (br. s) | 7.57 (br. $s$ ) | 7.60 (br. $s$ ) | 7.58 (br. $s$ ) |
|  | 7.59 (s) | 8.98 (s) |  | 7.57 (br.s) | 7.60 (br. $s$ ) | 7.59 (br.s) |

[^1]Table 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR Data $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, in $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ acetone, at $\left.25^{\circ}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4} . \delta$ in ppm . Atom numbering as indicated in Fig. 1.

| Position | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 108.3 | 111.5 | 106.2 | 108.3 |
|  | 108.2 | 111.5 | 106.2 | 108.3 |
| 3 | 40.3 | 44.0 | 42.6 | 40.2 |
|  | 40.3 | 44.0 | 42.6 | 40.2 |
| 4 | 44.3 | 44.4 | 46.5 | 44.2 |
|  | 44.4 | 44.5 | 46.8 | 44.3 |
| 5 | 85.0 | 84.0 | 79.5 | 85.1 |
|  | 85.0 | 84.0 | 79.5 | 85.1 |
| 6 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 16.3 | 15.2 |
|  | 15.2 | 11.6 | 16.5 | 15.3 |
| 7 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 9.1 |
|  | 9.1 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 9.1 |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 128.5 | 128.4 | 128.6 | 128.7 |
|  | 128.6 | 128.5 | 128.7 | 128.8 |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 137.9 | 137.1 | 136.9 | 138.9 |
|  | 137.9 | 137.1 | 136.9 | 138.9 |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 101.3 | 102.7 | 102.9 | 105.9 |
|  | 101.3 | 102.7 | 102.9 | 105.9 |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 149.3 | 149.5 | 150.0 | 153.6 |
|  | 149.3 | 149.5 | 150.0 | 153.6 |
| $5^{\prime}$ | 136.8 | 136.3 | 134.4 | 142.1 |
|  | 136.8 | 136.3 | 134.4 | 142.1 |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 141.9 | 141.4 | 141.9 | 152.2 |
|  | 141.9 | 141.4 | 141.9 | 152.2 |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 128.2 | 129.8 | 128.4 | 128.6 |
|  | 128.2 | 129.8 | 128.4 | 128.7 |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 115.3 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 115.3 |
|  | 115.5 | 115.3 | 115.3 | 115.4 |
| $3^{\prime \prime}$ | 148.0 | 147.7 | 147.8 | 147.9 |
|  | 148.0 | 147.8 | 147.9 | 148.0 |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 146.5 | 146.5 | 146.4 | 146.4 |
|  | 146.5 | 146.5 | 146.5 | 146.5 |
| $5^{\prime \prime}$ | 115.4 | 114.4 | 114.3 | 114.2 |
|  | 115.9 | 115.9 | 115.6 | 115.8 |
| $6^{\prime \prime}$ | 123.3 | 123.5 | 123.5 | 123.3 |
|  | 124.9 | 125.0 | 124.2 | 124.9 |
| 2-MeO | 54.8 | 54.9 | 54.5 | 54.8 |
|  | 54.9 | 55.0 | 54.6 | 54.8 |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 |  |
|  | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 |  |
| $6{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}$ | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 61.1 |
|  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 61.1 |
| $3^{\prime \prime}$-MeO | 56.4 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.4 |
|  | 56.4 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.4 |
| $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}$ |  |  |  | 56.3 |
|  |  |  |  | 56.3 |
| 5'-MeO |  |  |  | 60.7 60.7 |



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the ${ }^{1} H$-NMR signals $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, in $\left.\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \mathrm{DMSO}\right)$ of the aryl H-atoms of 1


Fig. 3. Key HMB $(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{C})$ correlations of compound $\mathbf{1}$


Fig. 4. Key $\operatorname{NOESY}(\mathrm{H} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H})$ correlations of compound $\mathbf{1}$
in compound $\mathbf{3}$. The vicinal coupling constants $J(2,3) \approx 0$ in $\mathbf{2}$ and $J(2,3)=4.4$ in $\mathbf{3}$, and $J(4,5)=8.9$ in $\mathbf{2}$ and $J(4,5)=8.5$ in $\mathbf{3}$ were in close agreement with those observed in gymnothelignans F and H [2]. Thus, gymnothelignan Q (2) and gymnothelignan R (3) were identified as 2,3-diepi-1 and 3,4-diepi-1, respectively.

Compound 4 was obtained as white powder. The molecular formula was determined as $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ by analysis of the HR-ESI-MS $\left(\mathrm{m} / z 441.1891\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)\right.$, indicating nine degrees of unsaturation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) were very similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$ except for the replacement of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ moiety by two MeO groups at $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$. Gymnothelignan $\mathrm{S}(4)$ was then determined as 5-(4"-hydroxy-4', $5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-tetramethoxy-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl- $2^{\prime}$-yl)- $2 \alpha$-methoxy- $3 \beta, 4 \alpha$-dimethyl tetrahydrofuran ${ }^{1}$ ).

In conclusion, we identified four new biphenyl lignans with a tetrahydrofuran moiety, gymnothelignans $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$, resp. $)$, from G. chinensis. These compounds were shown to have the same C-skeleton as eupomatilones, and may be biogenetically derived from eupodienones [2][11]. Besides the eupomatilones, no structurally related compounds were found in plants.
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## Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 300-400$ mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemica Co. ), MCI CHP-20 gel ( $75-150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Mitsubishi) , and ODS (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; LiChroprep). TLC: Precoated plates GF 254 (Qingdao Marine Chemical Co.). Semi-prep. HPLC: LC3000 System (Beijing

ChuangXingTongHeng Science and Technology Co., Ltd.); column, C18 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, i.d. $10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$; Kromasil). Optical rotations: PerkinElmer-241 polarimeter. UV Spectra: PerkinElmer Lambda 35. IR Spectra: Nicolet-MX-1 spectrometer; $\tilde{v}$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. NMR Spectra: Bruker Ascend 400 instrument; $\delta$ in ppm rel. to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard, $J$ in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: MicrOTOF-Q II mass spectrometer (Bruker, Germany); in $m / z$. ESI-MS: Micromass Xevo triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Corp., USA) ; in $m / z$.

Plant Material. The plant was collected from Jinfoshan in Chongqing City, P. R. China, in October 2012, and identified as G. chinensis by Prof. S. R. Yi, Chongqing Institute of Pharmaceutical Plant. A voucher specimen (20130125) was deposited with the herbarium of the Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and powdered whole plants of G. chinensis $(1.45 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted with MeOH at r.t. to give an extract $(152 \mathrm{~g})$, which was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11)$ and extracted with petroleum ether (PE) and AcOEt ( $3 \times 11,3 \mathrm{~h}$ each) successively. The PE and AcOEt extracts ( 8 and 19 g , resp.) were combined and then subjected to $\mathrm{CC}\left(M C I\right.$ gel $\left.(85 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}) ; \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 90: 10\right)$. In total, two fractions, $A$ and $B$, were obtained based on TLC analysis. Fr. $A(15 \mathrm{~g})$ was further separated by medium-pressure $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(49 \times 460 \mathrm{~mm}) ; \mathrm{PE} /\right.$ acetone $\left.100: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1\right)$ to give twelve fractions. Fr .7 $(800 \mathrm{mg})$ was purified by $\mathrm{CC}\left(O D S(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 36 \times 310 \mathrm{~mm})\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 40: 60 \rightarrow 100: 0\right)$ to afford three main fractions, Frs. $7 A-7 C$. Compound $4\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 24.2 \mathrm{~min} ; 4 \mathrm{mg}\right)$ was obtained from Fr . $7 A$ by semiprep. HPLC ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 66: 34$; flow rate, $3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Compounds $2\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 23.1 \mathrm{~min} ; 8 \mathrm{mg}\right), \mathbf{3}\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 35.4 \mathrm{~min}\right.$; $4 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{1}\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 38.3 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \mathrm{mg}\right)$ were obtained from $F r .7 B$ by semi-prep. HPLC $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 70: 30\right.$; flow rate, $3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

Gymnothelignan $P\left(=2\right.$-Methoxy-4-\{4-methoxy-6-[ $\left(2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)-2,3,4,5-$ tetrahydro-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylfuran-2-yl]-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yllphenol; 1). White powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-90(c=0.04, \mathrm{MeOH})$. UV (MeOH): 267 (3.69). IR (KBr): 3421, 2935, 1596, 1518, 1487, 1463, 1402, 1253, 1195, 1137, 1094, 1010. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : Tables 1 and 2, resp. ESI-MS: $401\left([M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right), 425\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR-ESI-MS: $401.1571\left([M-H]^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}\right.$; calc. 401.1600).

Gymnothelignan $Q\left(=2\right.$-Methoxy-4-44-methoxy-6-[ $\left(2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylfuran-2-yl]-1,3-benzodioxol-5-ylfphenol; 2). White powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-64(c=0.048, \mathrm{MeOH})$. UV ( MeOH ): 267 (3.49). IR (KBr): 3276, 2970, 1598, 1518, 1477, 1390, 1233, 1212, 1082, 1034, $916 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR: Tables 1 and 2, resp. ESI-MS: $401\left([M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right), 425\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR-ESI-MS: 401.1568 ( $[M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}$; calc. 401.1600).

Gymnothelignan $R\left(=2-M e t h o x y-4-\left\{4-m e t h o x y-6-\left[\left(2 R^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)-2,3,4,5-\right.\right.\right.$ tetrahydro-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-1,3-benzodioxol-5-ylfphenol; 3). White powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-97$ ( $c=$ $0.041, \mathrm{MeOH})$. UV (MeOH): 267 (3.60). IR (KBr): 2961, 1616, 1518, 1476, 1374, 1269, 1081, $936 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : Tables 1 and 2, resp. ESI-MS: $401\left([M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right), 425\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR-ESI-MS: 401.1604 $\left([M-H]^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}\right.$; calc. 401.1600).

Gymnothelignan $S\left(=2^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}\right.$-Tetramethoxy- $6^{\prime}-\left[\left(2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)-2,3,4,5-\right.$ tetrahydro-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylfuran-2-yl]-1, $l^{\prime}$-biphenyl-4-ol; 4). White powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-17(c=0.036, \mathrm{MeOH})$. UV (MeOH): 266 (3.43). IR (KBr): 3421, 2935, 1597, 1519, 1487, 1463, 1253, 1138, 1094, 1010. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{and}$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : Tables 1 and 2, resp. ESI-MS: $417\left([M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right), 441\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR-ESI-MS: 441.1884 $\left([M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}^{+}\right.$; calc. 441.1889) .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) For systematic names, see the Exper. Part.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) Recorded in $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ acetone, at $25^{\circ} .{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) Recorded in $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ DMSO, at $25^{\circ} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) Recorded in $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ DMSO, at $100^{\circ}$

